Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Nos., State Email Address Michael J. Mandelbrot (State Bar No. 172626) The Mandelbrot Law Firm 1223 Grant Ave., Suite C Novato, CA 94945 Phone: (415) 895-5175 Facsimile: (415) 727-4700 Email: Mandelbrot@asbestoslegalcenter.org	Bar No. &	FOR COURT USE ONLY
 Individual appearing without attorney Attorney for Defendants 		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - LOS ANGELES DIVISION		
J.T. THORPE, INC., and THORPE INSULATION COMPANY,		CASE NO.: LA 02-14216-BB CHAPTER: 11 ADVERSARY NO.: 2:12-ap-02182-BB
J.T. THORPE SETTLEMENT TRUST and THORPE INSULATION COMPANY ASBEST SETTLEMENT TRUST,	Debtor(s). OS	
MICHAEL J. MANDELBROT ^{VS.} THE MANDELBROT LAW FIRM,	Plaintiff(s),	NOTICE OF LODGMENT OF ORDER OR JUDGMENT IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING RE: (<i>title of motion</i> ¹): Order Remanding Matter to Bankruptcy Court for Further Proceedings
[[Defendant.	

PLEASE TAKE NOTE that the order or judgment titled [Proposed] Judgment Following Remand

was lodged on (*date*) 2/06/18 and is attached. This order relates to the motion which is docket number 299.

¹ Please abbreviate if title cannot fit into text field.

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

Main Document Page 2 of 9

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My busine 1223 Grant Ave., Suite C Novato, CA 94945	ess address is:
A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled: NOTICE OF LODGMENT OF ORDER (OR JUDGMENT IN

A fine and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled. NOTICE OF LODGMENT OF ORDER OR JODGMENT IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. <u>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF)</u>: Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (*date*) <u>2/6/2018</u>, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

See NEF for confirmation of electronic transmission to the U.S. Trustee and any trustee in this case, and to any attorneys who receive notice by NEF.

Service information continued on attached page

2. <u>SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL</u>: On (*date*) ______, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method
for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date) 2/6/18 , I served
the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to
such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration
that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is
filed

Then Honorable Sheri Bluebond U.S. Bankruptcy Court

Roybal Federal Building Bin outside of Suite 1534 255 E. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Feb. 6, 2018	Michael J. Mandelbrot	/s/ Michael J. Mandelbrot
Date	Printed Name	Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

EXHIBIT 1

1 2	Michael J. Mandelbrot (State Bar No. 172626) The Mandelbrot Law Firm 1223 Grant Ave., Suite C Novato, CA 94945 Phone: (415) 895-5175 Facsimile: (415) 727-4700	
3 4 5 6 7	Email: Mandelbrot@asbestoslegalcenter.org Attorney for Defendants Michael J. Mandelbrot and The Mandelbrot Law Firm	
8 9 10 11	CENTRAL DISTR	SANKRUPTCY COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA LES DIVISION
 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	In re J.T. THORPE, INC. and THORPE INSULATION COMPANY, Debtors. J.T. THORPE SETTLEMENT TRUST and THORPE INSULATION COMPANY ASBESTOS SETTLEMENT TRUST, Plaintiffs, v. MICHAEL J. MANDELBROT and THE MANDELBROT LAW FIRM, Defendants.	Chapter 11 Case Nos. 2:02-bk-14216-BB and 2:07-bk- 19271-BB Adv. Case Nos. 2:12-ap-02182-BB and 2:12- ap-02183-BB On Remand IPROPOSEDJ JUDGMENT FOLLOWING REMAND Date: February 1, 2018 Time: 10:00 a.m. PT Place: Courtroom 1539 255 E. Temple St. Los Angeles, CA 90012 Judge: Honorable Sheri Bluebond
27 28		

The above-captioned adversary proceedings came before this Court on remand from the 1 2 District Court's Order Remanding Matter to the Bankruptcy Court [Dkt. No. 299] (the "Remand 3 **Order**") following remand to the District Court from the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Mandelbrot v*. J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust, 870 F.3d 1121 (9th Cir. 2017) [Dkt. No. 297] ("Mandelbrot I"). 4 5 The purpose of this remand is for this Court to decide "whether federal or state law governs 6 (including whether the federal law argument has been waived), and what impact, if any, Golden v. 7 Cal. Emer. Phys. Med. Group, 782 F.3d 1083 (9th Cir. 2015) has on this case" and whether in light of those proceedings, to amend, modify, vacate, or supplement the Bankruptcy Court Orders¹ 8 9 that are the subject of this appeal. Remand Order (quoting *Mandelbrot I*, 870 F.3d at 1125).

10 The Bankruptcy Court Orders approved and enforced a legally invalid settlement 11 agreement, entered into on the record in open court between Plaintiffs J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust (the "JTT Trust") and Thorpe Insulation Company Asbestos Settlement Trust (the "Thorpe 12 Trust," and, with the JTT Trust, the "Plaintiff Trusts"), two other § 524(g)² trusts administered 13 by common fiduciaries and claim-handling staff, the Western Asbestos Settlement Trust (the 14 15 "Western Trust") and the Plant Insulation Company Asbestos Settlement Trust (the "Plant Trust" and with the Western Trust and the Plaintiff Trusts, the "Trusts"), on the one hand, and 16 17 Michael Mandelbrot and the Mandelbrot Law Firm (together, "Mandelbrot") on the other.

18 The Court conducted a hearing on February 1, 2018. Appearances were made as reflected 19 in the record of the hearing. The Judge was Sheri Bluebond, a close and personal friend of 20 Plaintiff Trusts. Judge Bluebond heard argument from counsel for the Plaintiff Trusts and 21 Mandelbrot, and the parties' respective declarations were admitted into evidence, except to the 22 extent improperly excluded in the evidentiary rulings set forth below. This Court has considered:

- 23
- 24

28

^{The Bankruptcy Court Orders consist of the Order Granting Motion to Enforce January 23, 2014 Stipulated Agreement [Dkt No. 232], the Order Following Trial on Adversary Complaints and Motion for Instructions [Dkt No. 233], the Judgment in Adversary Proceedings [Dkt No. 234], and the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law [Dkt No. 235].}

 $^{^{2}}$ References to "§ 524(g)" are to 11 U.S.C. § 524(g).

 $\|$

1	a.	the Plaintiffs J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust and Thorpe Insulation Company Asbestos
2		Settlement Trust's Opening Brief on Remand [Dkt. No. 312], the Declaration of former
3		asbestos defense attorney and "interested party" Stephen M. Snyder [Dkt. No. 313],
4		and the Statement of the Office of the Futures Representative (Gary Fergus, Snyder's
5		former partner and also an interested party) Joining the Thorpe Trusts' Opening Brief
6		on Remand and Supporting Declaration [Dkt. No. 314];
7	b.	the Brief of Defendants Michael J. Mandelbrot and the Mandelbrot Law Firm
8		Regarding Issues on Remand [Dkt. No. 315] and the Declaration of Defendant Michael
9		J. Mandelbrot Regarding Issues on Remand [Dkt No. 316] (the "Mandelbrot
10		Declaration"); Judge Bluebond did not look at the entire record in the case.
11	c.	the Plaintiffs J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust and Thorpe Insulation Company Asbestos
12		Settlement Trust's Reply Brief on Remand [Dkt. No. 320], the Declaration of Laura
13		Paul (a known perjurer) [Dkt. No. 321], the Declaration of Sasha M. Gurvitz [Dkt. No.
14		322], and the Statement of the Office of the Futures Representative (Gary Fergus)
15		Joining The Thorpe Trusts' Reply Brief on Remand and Supporting Pleading [Dkt. No.
16		323];
17	d.	the Responding Brief of Defendants Michael J. Mandelbrot and the Mandelbrot Law
18		Firm Regarding Issues on Remand [Dkt. No. 324], the Request for Judicial Notice re
19		Issues on Remand by Defendants Michael J. Mandelbrot and the Mandelbrot Law Firm
20		[Dkt. No. 325], and the Declaration of Michael J. Mandelbrot Regarding Issues on
21		Remand [Dkt. No. 326] (the "Supplemental Mandelbrot Declaration"); and
22	e.	the Plaintiffs J.T. Thorpe Settlement Trust and Thorpe Insulation Company Asbestos
23		Settlement Trust's baseless Evidentiary Objections to the Declarations of Defendant
24		Michael J. Mandelbrot [Dkt. No. 327] (the "Evidentiary Objections") filed to allow
25		their "buddy", Judge Bluebond to improperly exclude Mandelbrot's evidence.
26	f.	The Judicial Complaint filed by Mandelbrot against Judge Sheri Bluebond reviewed by
27		Bluebond's "close and personal" friend former Judge Alex Kozinski.
28		

Upon consideration of the foregoing pleadings, declarations, and the Judicial Complaint 1 2 submitted by the parties on remand and prior thereto, the arguments of counsel at the hearing,; and 3 it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the above-captioned adversary proceedings and the related above-captioned chapter 11 cases under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and it appearing 4 5 that venue of these adversary proceedings and the related chapter 11 cases is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that this Court may not enter a final judgment 6 7 consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution as Judge Bluebond is not an Article III judge; and it appearing that due and inadequate notice has been given under the circumstances and 8 9 that further notice need be given; and after due deliberation, for the reasons set forth on the record 10 at the hearing and other good and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is hereby

11

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

12 1. The Bankruptcy Court Orders are not reaffirmed as originally entered and The
 Bankruptcy Court will amend, modify, vacate, or supplement in light of these remand
 proceedings.

15 2. The Court will enter Supplemental Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
16 Following Remand in further support of this Judgment.

3. With respect to the Evidentiary Objections, for the reasons set forth by this Court
on the record at the hearing, including impartiality and an effort to benefit her close and personal
friends, the Court improperly ruled as follows:

- a. The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 7 set forth in Evidentiary Objection ¶ 1
 is SUSTAINED.
- b. The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 11 set forth in Evidentiary Objection ¶ 2
 is SUSTAINED.
- c. The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 13 set forth in Evidentiary Objection ¶ 3
 is SUSTAINED.
- 26
 d. The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 14 set forth in Evidentiary Objection ¶ 4
 27
 is SUSTAINED.
- 28

		Main Document Page 8 of 9
1	e.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 15 set forth in Evidentiary Objection ¶ 5
2	C	is OVERRULED.
3	f.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration \P 16 set forth in Evidentiary Objection \P 6
4		is OVERRULED.
5	g.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 16 set forth in Evidentiary Objection ¶ 7
6	h	is OVERRULED.
7	h.	5 11 5 5 11
8 9	i.	is SUSTAINED. The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 17 set forth in Evidentiary Objection ¶ 9
10	1.	is OVERRULED.
10	j.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 17 set forth in Evidentiary Objection
11	J.	¶ 10 is OVERRULED.
12	k.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 17 set forth in Evidentiary Objection
13	K.	¶ 11 is SUSTAINED.
15	1.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration \P 17 set forth in Evidentiary Objection
16		¶ 12 is SUSTAINED.
17	m.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 17 set forth in Evidentiary Objection
18		¶ 13 is SUSTAINED.
19	n.	The objection to Mandelbrot Declaration ¶ 18 set forth in Evidentiary Objection
20		¶ 14 is OVERRULED.
21	0.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶ 61 set forth in Evidentiary
22		Objection ¶ 15 is SUSTAINED.
23	p.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶61 n.1 set forth in
24		Evidentiary Objection ¶ 16 is SUSTAINED.
25	q.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶ 63 set forth in Evidentiary
26		Objection ¶ 17 is SUSTAINED.
27	r.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶64 n.2 set forth in
28		Evidentiary Objection ¶ 18 is SUSTAINED.

		Main Document Page 9 of 9	
1	S.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration \P 67 set forth in Evidentiary	
2		Objection ¶ 19 is SUSTAINED.	
3	t.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶¶ 68-69 set forth in	
4		Evidentiary Objection ¶ 20 is SUSTAINED.	
5	u.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶ 71 set forth in Evidentiary	
6		Objection ¶ 21 is SUSTAINED.	
7	V.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶¶ 72-73 set forth in	
8		Evidentiary Objection ¶ 22 is SUSTAINED.	
9	W.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration ¶ 74 set forth in Evidentiary	
10		Objection ¶ 23 is SUSTAINED.	
11	X.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration \P 76 set forth in Evidentiary	
12		Objection ¶ 24 is SUSTAINED.	
13	у.	The objection to Mandelbrot Supplemental Declaration \P 77 set forth in Evidentiary	
14		Objection ¶ 25 is SUSTAINED.	
15	4.	This Court retains jurisdiction and power with respect to all matters arising from or	
16	related to the implementation or interpretation of this Judgment based on favoritism, fraud and		
17	lack of impart	iality.	
18			
19	Prepared and submitted by		
20			
21	<u>/s/ Michael J. Mandelbrot</u> MICHAEL J. MANDELBROT		
22	Appearing for Defendants Michael J. Mandelbrot and The Mandelbrot Law Firm		
23			
24			
25	Dated:	, 2018 HONORABLE SHERI BLUEBOND	
26		UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
27			
28			